

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,195	11/26/2001	Thomas Reisinger	GR 99 P 1912 8292 EXAMINER	
24131 7.	590 04/20/2006			
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612 DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	· ·
09/994,195	REISINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Examino	Air Oille	

	Brian A. Zimmerman	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaid abaid avit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing that the mailing dates.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed		avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beaution appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		• •			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•	, - ,	·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		0 0	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).			
		1º XX/			
		Brian A Zimmermai Primary Examiner	n.		
		Art Unit: 2612			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants repeat their arguments against the references. The applicant points to the examiner's rebuttal of these arguments and disagrees. The applicant does not point to any issues that have not been previously addressed by the examiner and therefore, the response to the specific issues brought up by the applicant is hereby incorporated by reference from the prior office actions.